The laboratory domestication of *Caenorhabditis elegans*
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Model organisms are of great importance to our understanding of basic biology and to making advances in biomedical research. However, the influence of laboratory cultivation on these organisms is underappreciated, and especially how that environment can affect research outcomes. Recent experiments led to insights into how the widely used laboratory reference strain of the nematode *Caenorhabditis elegans* compares with natural strains. Here we describe potential selective pressures that led to the fixation of laboratory-derived alleles for the genes *npr-1*, *glb-5*, and *nath-10*. These alleles influence a large number of traits, resulting in behaviors that affect experimental interpretations. Furthermore, strong phenotypic effects caused by these laboratory-derived alleles hinder the discovery of natural alleles. We highlight strategies to reduce the influence of laboratory-derived alleles and to harness the full power of *C. elegans*.

Model organisms pave the road to biological discovery

Sustained progress in the biological sciences is facilitated by discoveries using organisms amenable to laboratory investigation. They have large numbers of offspring, are small, and are easy to maintain. Many different species have these attributes. For example, the single-cell eukaryote *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* (baker’s yeast) is immensely powerful as a genetic model organism for conserved cellular processes [1] and for quantitative genetics using large populations [2]. The fruit fly *Drosophila melanogaster* contributed extensively to our understanding of signal-transduction pathways and developmental patterning [3]. The free-living nematode *Caenorhabditis elegans* is a widely used model organism in studies of development [4,5], mechanistic neurobiology [6], aging [7], and small RNAs [8,9]. When the results from experimental studies of model organisms are tabulated, it is obvious that they facilitated much of what we know about conserved biological processes.

Quantitative geneticists often use tractable model organisms to identify loci and (sometimes) genetic variants that influence phenotypic differences among populations. To elucidate the underlying genetic basis of complex traits, recombinant offspring are generated and their traits measured. Organisms that give rise to large (preferably clonal) populations and are easy to manipulate experimentally enable these approaches. These attributes make *S. cerevisiae* the most powerful eukaryotic organism for quantitative genetics [2,10]. However, as a metazoan genetic system, *C. elegans* is unmatched [11]. It has an extremely rapid life cycle (3.5 days at 20°C), produces 200–300 offspring per hermaphrodite individual, possesses a small and well-annotated genome, and can be cultured axenically.

**Glossary**

**Axenic culture**: conditions where organisms are grown in completely synthetic media. In the case of *Caenorhabditis elegans*, media are based on a liver extract [63,64].

**Dauer**: second larval stage (L2) animals enter this alternate larval stage at high culture density, low food abundance, and high temperature [Altun, Z.F. et al. 2002 Z.F. WormAtlas (http://www.wormatlas.org)]. These L3 dauer larvae can survive stressful conditions and are thought to disperse to new locations in nature.

**Ecological niche**: the specific environment in which an organism lives and competes for resources. *C. elegans* is most often found in decaying material and not in soil [15,31].

**FLP-18**: one of two FMRFamide neuropeptides encoded by the *C. elegans* genome that can bind to the NPR-1 neuropeptide receptor. FLP-18 can activate the NPR-1(215V) allele (found in N2 animals) but not the NPR-1(2125F) allele [found in all wild strains] [16,46].

**FLP-21**: the second of two FMRFamide neuropeptides encoded by the *C. elegans* genome that can bind to the NPR-1 neuropeptide receptor. FLP-21 is the natural ligand of NPR-1 [16,40].

**Genome-wide association study (GWAS)**: a technique used on natural populations to identify genomic regions correlated with differences in phenotypic traits [12–14].

**GLB-5**: a globin domain protein that modifies behavioral responses to oxygen and oxygen/carbon dioxide stimuli [16,65].

**Heritability**: the amount of trait variation in a population that can be explained by genetic factors.

**NATH-10**: a vertebrate N-acetyltransferase homolog that has been shown to affect vulval induction in *C. elegans*. The 748I allele (N2) also results in faster maturation and greater fitness under laboratory conditions [35].

**Neomorphic**: describes a type of phenotype caused by an alteration of gene function that is novel and different from the normal function of the gene. NPR-1: a G protein-coupled neuropeptide receptor normally activated by FLP-21. The 215V allele (N2) gained the ability to respond to FLP-18. The 215V allele affects many different traits [16,26,30,38,40,43–55].

**Private alleles**: specific alleles occurring in a single strain only.

**Quantitative trait locus (QTL)**: a locus correlated with quantitative trait variation. For example, the npr-1 QTL is correlated with variation in aggregation behavior.

**Quantitative trait nucleotide (QTN)**: the variant site that causes variation in the quantitative trait.

**RNG interneuron**: the central neuron involved in most behaviors mediated by NPR-1 [47].

**Trans-band**: in expression QTL studies, when variation of expression in many genes is correlated with the same genomic locus [30,59–61].

**Vulval cell induction**: when any of six hypodermal cells located on the ventral surface of the hermaphrodite are specified and divide to become vulval cells.
cryopreserved; in addition, transgenic strains are easily obtained. Wild strains isolated from nature can be phenotyped and genotyped to perform genome-wide association studies (GWASs) (see Glossary) [12–15]. This combination of studies on natural allelic variation paired with analyses of mutations using the laboratory strain offer a powerful approach to broaden our understanding of how genetic background contributes to phenotype. However, characterization of the behaviors and genomes of wild *C. elegans* strains led to suspicions about laboratory adaptation in the widely used N2 laboratory strain [16]. Wild type strains used in other model organisms have laboratory-derived variants that result in large pleiotropic effects, including cell clumping in *S. cerevisiae* [17,18] and plant growth in *Arabidopsis thaliana* [19].

Here we review documented examples of *C. elegans* laboratory-derived alleles in the commonly used Bristol (or N2) strain and the effects on its phenotype. We first describe how the laboratory strain N2 is different from wild strains of *C. elegans* and discuss the laboratory history of this nematode to gain insight into genetic bottlenecks and possible laboratory selection. Then we discuss three known laboratory-derived alleles and their effects on *C. elegans* biology, including implications for the interpretation of observations that can confound experimental outcomes.

**N2 is distinct from all wild strains of *C. elegans***

Since its introduction to the research community by Sydney Brenner in 1974 [20], the Bristol (or N2) strain has been used in many laboratories and became the canonical wild type strain (for more history, see Box 1). From the Laboratory of Molecular Biology in Cambridge, N2 spread across the world via trainees from the Brenner laboratory, resulting in massive clonal amplification of N2 around the world. However, before its dissemination and cryogenic preservation, the N2 strain was propagated for many generations leading to the accumulation and selection of random mutations. We do not know how often the strain was transferred to new cultures during this early propagation. Conservatively, the strain could have been passed every 2 months. At the other extreme, the strain could have been passed every 4 days. Therefore, the strain underwent approximately 300 to 2000 generations from 1951 to 1969 (Box 1). Given the germline mutation rate of $2.7 \times 10^{-9}$ mutations per site per generation [21], up to 1000 neutral mutations could have accumulated before cryogenic preservation. Furthermore, additional genetic differences among N2 strains from different laboratories arose after dispersal of this strain around the world, causing differences in the phenotypes of these standard wild type strains [22,23].

The cultivation history of the Bristol N2 strain provides only a few opportunities to identify mutations that accumulated during the early culturing period (Box 1 and Figure 1). Clues come from a strain that diverged from N2 some time before 1963 while in the Dougherty laboratory [Dougherty, E.C. (1963) Letter from Ellsworth C. Dougherty to Sydney Brenner. CSHL Archives Repository (http://libgallery.cshl.edu/items/show/60761)] up to 12 years after initial isolation from nature. This strain was mislabeled as *Caenorhabditis briggsae* – a mistake that was corrected later [24]. In 1995 and 2009, hermaphrodites were removed from axenic culture and frozen as the LSJ1 and LSJ2 strains [24,25], respectively. Comparison by sequencing revealed an estimate of approximately 100 accumulated variants in N2 [24]. However, no strains are currently known that diverged from N2 before the LSJ1 and LSJ2 strains diverged. Therefore, it is impossible to identify the mutations that accumulated in the initial decade after isolation.

From analysis of the genotypes and phenotypes of wild strains, we understand a great deal about variation in nature. Notably, many *C. elegans* strains reported to be isolated from nature were contaminated by the N2 strain (Table 1) [16]. Initial characterizations of natural phenotypic variation were confounded by these contaminated strains [26,27]. Fortunately, recent sampling and genotyping of true wild strains have made it possible to study natural variation in *C. elegans* [12,28,29]. The genomes of most wild *C. elegans* strains isolated from nature are highly related, sharing nearly two-thirds of the genome. This high degree of sharing is likely to be the effect of advantageous alleles that swept through the population reducing linked variation [12] and background selection that eliminates variation linked to deleterious alleles [30]. However, we still cannot identify all of the alleles that accumulated during laboratory propagation of the N2 strain, even with these genotype data. The N2 genome contains private alleles found in the strain when isolated from nature along with mutations that accumulated during laboratory propagation. Together, this mix of alleles

**Box 1. *Caenorhabditis elegans*: the journey from nature to the bench**

Most *C. elegans* research laboratories use the strain named N2, which was collected in 1951 from mushroom compost in Bristol, UK. Like most model organisms, the journey from nature to the laboratory was circuitous (see Figure 1 in main text). The compost was collected by L.N. Staniland, who brought the sample to a short course on plant nematology organized by the Ministry of Agriculture and Fisheries [16]. From this sample, Bristol *C. elegans* was isolated by Warwick Nicholas, who cultured the animal first on Petri dishes containing nutrient agar with bacterial contaminants as food [16]. Later, Nicholas developed axenic liquid cultures from the nutrient agar cultures, as these conditions required less frequent subculturing [64]. In 1957, the nematodes were transported to the laboratory of Ellsworth Dougherty at the Kaiser Foundation Research Institute in Richmond, CA in a liquid axenic culture [16]. In the Dougherty laboratory, Eder Hansen cultured the nematodes. Two types of culture were established: nutrient agar slants seeded with *Escherichia coli* in test tubes and liquid axenic culture based on liver extract [63].

Concurrently, Sydney Brenner sought an organism suitable for neurobiology research [65]. He corresponded with Dougherty and even isolated nematodes from his own garden [66]. This nematode culture was called the N1 strain. Brenner requested the Bristol strain from Dougherty and it was sent in 1963 [20,65] [Dougherty, E.C. (1963) Letter from Ellsworth C. Dougherty to Sydney Brenner. CSHL Archives Repository (http://libgallery.cshl.edu/items/show/60761)]. In the Brenner laboratory, the liquid axenic culture was transferred to agar plates containing *E. coli*. After several passages of a population containing both males and hermaphrodites, a single hermaphrodite was selected. This strain, which was used for all subsequent work, was called N2 [66]. The populations were kept in culture on *E. coli* monoxenic agar plates and the hermaphrodite strain was eventually frozen in 1969 by John Sulston [67].
makes it impossible to identify laboratory-derived alleles from sequence information alone.

Selective pressures: nature versus laboratory

To understand how laboratory conditions could influence *C. elegans*, we need to know more about its natural habitat and ecology. Although progress has been made in recent years, the ecology of *C. elegans* remains largely unknown [15,31,32]. Despite frequent use of the statement in the literature, it is unlikely that *C. elegans* is a soil nematode. Soil samples harbor *C. elegans* only when in close proximity to rotting vegetation or fruit [15,33] and recent successful sampling suggests that its natural habitat is rotting material. Wild strains were isolated successfully from rotting hogweed [15,28], rotting fruits [15,28,31,33], and compost [28,31]. Additionally, strains have been isolated from ‘carrier’ species such as snails and terrestrial isopods [15]. Current observations indicate that *C. elegans* occupies short-lived, microbiota-rich habitats. In this niche, it establishes a population quickly and is thought to compete for bacterial food with other species [15,31]. When food is limiting and population density is high, *C. elegans* enters a long-lived alternative larval stage called dauer. These dauers are likely to endure periods without food while dispersing to new habitats [34]. By contrast, laboratory cultivation provides a much more constant environment (Box 2).

When animals are removed from their natural environments and transported to the laboratory, species undergo strong selective pressures that ultimately can change the organism. The impact of a laboratory environment on an

---

**Box 2. Living conditions of *Caenorhabditis elegans* in the laboratory**

The life cycle of *C. elegans* comprises an embryonic stage followed by four larval stages (L1–L4) and an adult stage. The N2 strain completes one generation every 3.5 days at 20°C. Alternatively, *C. elegans* can enter a long-term survival stage (dauer) as an alternative to the standard L3 larval stage [Altn, Z.F. et al. (2002–2014) WormAtlas (http://www.wormatlas.org/)].

**Axenic culture**

Axenic cultures do not contain other organisms as a food source and can be chemically defined or contain extracts of organic material (e.g., liver). Such cultures can be either in a solid state (e.g., nutrient agar) or in liquid.

Axenic cultures are now not often used for keeping *C. elegans*, with the exception of transport into space [69]. In the early days of *Caenorhabditis* sp. research, much time was invested in establishing a defined axenic medium to grow nematodes [63,64], for two major reasons. First, it required a lower frequency of subculturing. Before the cryopreservation method was developed, infrequent subculture requirements were a great advantage. Second, axenic culture offered the ability to chemically define the medium, which allows the researcher to alter components and investigate nutritional requirements.

**Monoxenic culture**

Monoxenic cultures contain one organism as a food source. In the case of *C. elegans*, the nematode is almost exclusively cultured on media containing *Escherichia coli*.

There are two main methods for monoxenic culture of *C. elegans*: in liquid or on solid medium. In liquid culture, animals are grown with agitation in solution. On solid media, the animals are kept on nematode growth medium (NGM) agar plates seeded with an *E. coli* strain [20].
organism is significant: environmental conditions are kept nearly constant, breeding regimens are strictly enforced, and food is readily available (Box 2). Additionally, researchers impose novel pressures by the culturing system; for example, transferring individual animals to start a new culture (bottlenecks). The substrate on which animals are grown should be considered. Agar plates offer a 2D substrate whereas rotting fruit is a 3D environment [15]. This laboratory propagation results in evolution through artificial selection, which inevitably affects genotypic and phenotypic characteristics of model organisms, including *C. elegans*.

From two studies, it is clear that the N2 genotype exhibits higher fitness in laboratory conditions than wild strains [25,35]. The phenotype of N2 is distinct from wild strains in several ways, including aggregation behavior, maturation time, fecundity, body size, and many other traits [25,26,28,35–42]. The atmospheric oxygen concentration on agar plates is substantially higher than levels preferred by wild strains [43–45] and laboratory oxygen concentration is a strong selective pressure on the organism. This oxygen concentration affects the growth and physiology of the animal profoundly, because many behaviors are altered, including how the animals consume bacterial food. These oxygen-dependent effects are so profound that two of three confirmed laboratory-derived alleles are associated with altered behaviors at higher oxygen concentrations [16,40]. The effects of these alleles, and possibly other laboratory-derived alleles, are pleiotropic, so they could have been selected by additional, unexplained pressures.

**Laboratory-derived alleles in the *C. elegans* N2 strain and their functional consequences**

During the first 18 years that the N2 strain was grown in the laboratory, many mutations arose that might not have conferred any selective advantage [21]. However, we know that laboratory propagation of this strain led to the fixation of several alleles that confer a strong selective advantage under these conditions [25,35]. Laboratory-derived alleles are random mutations that increase the fitness of the organism under laboratory conditions. At least three genes in the N2 strain have laboratory-derived variation: *npr-1*, *glb-5*, and *nath-10* [16,35,40]. For each of these genes, the N2 genome contains a variant that differs from that found among all *bona fide* wild strains. Furthermore, the two N2-diverged strains, LJS1 and LJS2 (Figure 1), carry the same alleles as wild strains. These results provide further evidence for the laboratory origin of the alleles, because LJS1 and LJS2 were separated from the N2 strain at least 6 years before cryopreservation [16,24,25].

**The neuropeptide receptor encoding gene npr-1: laboratory adaptation abnormally represses the *C. elegans* nervous system**

A seven-transmembrane neuropeptide receptor encoded by *npr-1* was first identified as a master regulator of a behavioral dimorphism where animals either aggregate or remain solitary in the presence of bacterial food [26]. This aggregation behavior mapped to an amino acid substitution within the third intracellular loop of the NPR-1 receptor. Wild strains of *C. elegans* contain the 215F allele, with which the NPR-1 receptor responds to the neuropeptide FLP-21. By contrast, the laboratory strain N2 contains the 215V allele, which leads to a neomorphic gain-of-function sensitivity of NPR-1 to FLP-18 in addition to sensitivity to FLP-21 [46]. This gain-of-function sensitivity creates an abnormally repressed neural circuit through inactivation of the RMG interneuron [47], affecting a large number of behaviors (Table 2) [16,26,30,38,40,43–55].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Trait</th>
<th>Phenotypic effect</th>
<th>Related to aerotaxis?</th>
<th>Refs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aggregation</td>
<td>Lower</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>[26,43,46,47]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taxis to low oxygen</td>
<td>Lower</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>[40,43–45]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pathogen avoidance</td>
<td>Higher</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>[40,48,49]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lifetime fecundity</td>
<td>Higher</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>[40]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body size</td>
<td>Larger</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>[40]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gene-expression regulation</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>[30,40]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethanol tolerance</td>
<td>Lower</td>
<td>Not tested</td>
<td>[50]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbon dioxide avoidance</td>
<td>Higher</td>
<td>Not tested</td>
<td>[16,51]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heat avoidance</td>
<td>Higher</td>
<td>Not tested</td>
<td>[52]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hermaphrodite leaving</td>
<td>Lower</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>[38]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pheromone responses</td>
<td>Repulsed</td>
<td>Not tested</td>
<td>[47,53]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lethargus quiescence</td>
<td>Higher</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>[54]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crawling speed</td>
<td>Lower</td>
<td>Not tested</td>
<td>[55]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A modified aerotaxis response is one of the central drivers of the behavioral differences caused by variation in *npr-1* (Figure 2). Wild type *C. elegans* strongly prefer oxygen concentrations lower than ambient levels [43–45]. On agar plate cultures, this behavior manifests as taxis to oxygen concentrations of approximately 10%, which are often found at the border of the bacterial lawn [43–45]. Aggregation of animals decreases the local oxygen concentration even further [45]. This reduction in oxygen concentration caused by aggregation reinforces the further formation of...
aggregates, which in turn decreases available food as animals compete in close proximity. The reduction in growth rate and offspring production observed in wild *C. elegans* strains is likely to be caused by a mild starvation state in aggregates [40]. Additionally, these animals could experience higher levels of pheromones, potentially signaling a stress state that reduces growth rate and offspring production [40]. The attraction of wild strains to the border of the lawn increases the exposure to bacteria [38]. When these bacteria are pathogenic, strains with the 215F allele will be exposed more extensively to the pathogen and succumb faster to infection than the N2 strain with the 215V allele [40,48,49].

Most traits related to *npr-1* variation are linked to aerotaxis behavior (Table 2). Traits not linked to aerotaxis include heat avoidance [52], ethanol tolerance [50], carbon dioxide avoidance [16,51], and pheromone response [47,53]. However, these traits still might be linked to aerotaxis via the RMG neuron, but these connections have not been characterized extensively. For example, the ethanol response might be regulated through FLP-18 [50] or might be sensed in the nociception neuron ASH, which is connected to RMG [47]. RMG is also connected to the pheromone-sensing ADL neuron. As the aggregation observed in wild strains is likely to cause higher pheromone exposure and lower oxygen concentration, it is difficult to distinguish the contributions of the two factors [40]. Many traits where *npr-1* variation is implicated in the behavior have not been directly connected to aerotaxis behaviors by empirical evidence. Most of these traits, however, are likely to be caused by variation in the aerotaxis responses and differences in food consumption mediated by RMG through its role as a ‘hub-and-spoke’ neuron [47].

**Other laboratory-derived alleles found in the N2 strain affect nath-10 and glb-5**

Together with NPR-1, the neuronal globulin domain protein GLB-5 affects a behavioral response to changes in carbon dioxide and oxygen concentrations. The causal variant in *glb-5* is a duplication/insertion of 765 base pairs leading to a 179-amino acid truncation and a 40-amino acid substitution in the N2 strain [16,55]. The combination of laboratory-adapted alleles at the *glb-5* and *npr-1* loci leads to opposing responses to changes in carbon dioxide concentration compared with the wild alleles. Wild strains move more quickly and make more turns when they sense a simultaneous decrease in carbon dioxide concentration and increase in oxygen concentration. By contrast, N2 animals move more quickly and make more turns when they sense an increase in carbon dioxide concentration. Furthermore, the N2 allele of *glb-5* desensitizes the URX neuron (which is also connected to RMG) to small fluctuations in oxygen levels, leading to reduced responses to oxygen concentration [16,55]. The *npr-1* and *glb-5* alleles exhibit a genetic interaction. A strain with the natural alleles at both loci displays a phenotype that differs from that of the strains with only one natural allele. If only the N2 allele of *npr-1* is present, animals will react to oxygen in a concentration-dependent manner, whereas the N2 *glb-5* allele on its own renders them insensitive to fluctuations in oxygen concentration. If animals carry both alleles, however, they react strongly to minor shifts in oxygen and carbon dioxide concentrations around the atmospheric oxygen concentration [16,55]. These discoveries related to oxygen and carbon dioxide preferences led to original observations of the derived nature of the N2 strain [16].

Variation in the human N-acetyltransferase homolog gene (*nath-10*) causes variation in vulval cell-fate specification and shows pleiotropic effects on fecundity and growth rate [35]. The laboratory-derived allele encodes a putative substitution of methionine-T46 with isoleucine in a highly conserved region of the N-acetyltransferase domain. This laboratory-derived allele was identified because of specific effects on variation in vulval cell-fate specification. Variation in *nath-10* causes visible effects on vulval development only when additional mutations sensitize *let-60* Ras pathway activity. The laboratory-derived allele of *nath-10* partially suppresses a lower level of vulval cell-fate induction caused by a reduction-of-function mutation in the gene encoding an epidermal growth factor (EGF) receptor (*let-23*) and enhances the level of vulval cell-fate induction caused by a gain-of-function mutation in the gene encoding Ras (*let-60*), indicating that the laboratory-derived allele of *nath-10* stimulates Ras pathway activity. This allele also affects age at maturity, brood size, and egg-laying speed through an increase in the production of sperm. Given this large effect on fitness, the N2 allele of *nath-10* causes a selective advantage when animals are grown in laboratory competition assays [35].

**The effects of natural allelic variation is obscured by propagation of strains in the laboratory**

To investigate the effects of laboratory alleles, we analyzed the *C. elegans* linkage mapping results from the past decade for linkage to *npr-1*, *glb-5*, and *nath-10* genomic regions (Table 3). A large number of linkage mapping experiments detected a quantitative trait locus (QTL) with a confidence interval that includes the *npr-1* locus, including dauer formation [56,57], body size [37,40], lifespan [58], and vulval index [35]. Laboratory-derived alleles have large effects when strains are grown in laboratory conditions. To estimate this effect, we compared the broad-sense heritability ($H^2$) and the variance explained by the *npr-1* QTL. This comparison indicates how much of the genetic difference among strains is influenced by the *npr-1* QTL. Variation at the *npr-1* locus explains 30–82% of the variance contributed by genetic factors for various traits [37,40] – a large phenotypic effect. However, not all traits consistently detect a QTL at the *npr-1* locus. For example, one expression QTL study detected a trans-band at *npr-1* [30] but three other studies did not [59–61]. Similarly, one study detected the *npr-1* QTL for fecundity [40] but two other studies did not [36,37]. We suggest that studies that failed to detect a QTL near *npr-1* are likely to have had different laboratory culture conditions, including the number of animals in the culture and the assay temperature. The differences in population density and variation at *npr-1* interact with large effects on the growth and physiology of the organism [40]. With increased culture density comes more crowding of animals at the edge of the bacterial lawn. This crowding causes a chronic
Table 3. Many linkage mapping genetic studies identified the npr-1, glb-5, or nath-10 locus

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strains</th>
<th>Trait</th>
<th>Interval detected**</th>
<th>Identified causal gene</th>
<th>Refs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N2×BO</td>
<td>Lifespan</td>
<td>nath-10</td>
<td></td>
<td>[70]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Oxidative stress response</td>
<td>glb-5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N2×CB4856</td>
<td>Age at maturity, 24 °C</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td>[36]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N2×CB4856</td>
<td>Body mass, 12 °C</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td>[37]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Body mass, 24 °C</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N2×DR1350</td>
<td>Dauer formation, high food, 19 °C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>[56]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dauer formation, food, plasticity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N2×CB4856</td>
<td>Pathogen susceptibility</td>
<td>npr-1</td>
<td></td>
<td>[49]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N2×CB4856</td>
<td>Lifespan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>[58]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N2×CB4856</td>
<td>Carbon dioxide up shift, oxygen down shift</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>glb-5, npr-1</td>
<td>[16]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Carbon dioxide down shift, oxygen up shift</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>glb-5, npr-1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N2×CB4856</td>
<td>Oxygen sensing and response</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>[55]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N2×CB4856</td>
<td>Male tail phenotype, 13 °C</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td>[71]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N2×CB4856</td>
<td>Gene expression, L4, 24 °C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>[60]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Gene expression, L4 and reproductive, 24 °C</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N2×CB4856</td>
<td>Gene expression, young adult, 20 °C</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td>[30]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N2×CB4856</td>
<td>Population growth on RNAi (8/11 genes)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>ppw-1</td>
<td>[72]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N2×CB4856</td>
<td>Lawn leaving</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>[38]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N2×CB4856</td>
<td>Heat avoidance</td>
<td>npr-1</td>
<td></td>
<td>[52]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JU605×JU606</td>
<td>Vulval induction, 20 °C</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td>[35]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Vulval induction, 25.5 °C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Vulval induction, plasticity</td>
<td>nath-10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N2×CB4856</td>
<td>Bordering</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>[39]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N2×CB4856</td>
<td>Thermal preference</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>[73]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Isothermal dispersion</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N2×CB4856</td>
<td>Dauer formation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>[57]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N2×CB4856</td>
<td>Gene expression</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>[74]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N2×CB4856</td>
<td>Lifetime fecundity</td>
<td>npr-1</td>
<td></td>
<td>[40]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Adult body size</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Susceptibility to Staphylococcus aureus</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Gene expression</td>
<td>npr-1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N2×CB4856</td>
<td>Dauer formation (pheromone exposure)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>[57]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dauer formation (food exhaustion)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N2×CB4856</td>
<td>Embryonic development</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>[75]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*When these intervals are not detected, this negative result could be caused by several factors. For example, this interval is not involved in the trait. Alternatively, the lack of detection could be caused by technical reasons, including presence of markers or statistical power.

*The strain used for constructing the recombinant inbred population was CB5362, a strain containing the tra-2(ar221) and the xol-1(y9) mutations in an N2 background.

low-level starvation state, which has large phenotypic effects. Additionally, differences in rearing temperature result in altered growth rates and population densities with similar effects.

The nath-10 locus has been associated with several traits, including age at maturity [35,36], an expression QTL trans-band [60], and dauer formation [57,62]. It is difficult to assess the effect of the locus in general, as only one study reports the contribution to heritable variation (52%) [36]. The trans-band associated with the nath-10 locus was measured in L4 and reproductive animals at 24 °C. Therefore, it is likely that the developmental differences caused by nath-10 result in gene-expression differences. Because nath-10 is a pleiotropic locus implicated in fecundity and growth rate, these correlated QTLs are unsurprising.

In summary, the contribution of laboratory-derived alleles to heritable variation is large (30–82%) and seems to be environment dependent. It is important to consider the context in which traits are measured. Given that both npr-1 and glb-5 affect behavior at atmospheric oxygen concentration [16,40,43], many behavioral studies using the N2 strain under standard laboratory conditions might be difficult to interpret with respect to a normal behavioral circuit and natural behaviors.

Where do we go from here?

C. elegans is an essential model organism used to understand human biology. However, we need to be aware of the large and pleiotropic phenotypic effects caused by laboratory-derived alleles, especially those alleles present in the reference strain N2. These alleles can influence our conclusions and could alter the interpretation of results for the understanding of human biology, as they alter the natural physiology of C. elegans. However, investigators should not abandon the N2 strain. The large experimental toolkit and the decades of results obtained by the study of this one strain are invaluable. These advantages need to be tempered with the knowledge that the N2 strain has been bred in a single environment for a long time before cryopreservation. Laboratories whose research focuses exclusively on the N2 strain and mutant derivatives should consider
expanding to more natural C. elegans strains, especially when the focus includes traits that are influenced by population density (e.g., metabolism).

Newly isolated C. elegans that are cryopreserved as soon as possible after arrival in the laboratory are an untapped resource of genetic variants to expand the experimental power of C. elegans and its applicability to humans. For example, these strains can be added to the panel currently used for GWASs [12–14]. Additionally, new recombinant inbred line collections can be constructed using natural strains, which will greatly benefit quantitative genetic studies. One of the major strengths of C. elegans is the combination of wild strains and the accumulated knowledge from the study of the laboratory strain N2. This combination allows rapid screening of causal genes to understand evolutionary and ecological genetics as well as making a larger impact on biomedical science. The C. elegans research community is ready for the next round of rapid and important progress once natural strains are integrated into the existing genetic toolkit.
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