Selection and gene flow shape niche-associated variation in pheromone response

Daehan Lee¹, Stefan Zdraljevic¹², Daniel E. Cook^{12,8}, Lise Frézal³, Jung-Chen Hsu⁴, Mark G. Sterken⁵, Joost A. G. Riksen⁵, John Wang⁴, Jan E. Kammenga⁵, Christian Braendle⁶, Marie-Anne Félix³, Frank C. Schroeder⁷ and Erik C. Andersen¹

From quorum sensing in bacteria to pheromone signalling in social insects, chemical communication mediates interactions among individuals in local populations. In *Caenorhabditis elegans*, ascaroside pheromones can dictate local population density; high levels of pheromones inhibit the reproductive maturation of individuals. Little is known about how natural genetic diversity affects the pheromone responses of individuals from diverse habitats. Here, we show that a niche-associated variation in pheromone receptor genes contributes to natural differences in pheromone responses. We identified putative loss-of-function deletions that impair duplicated pheromone receptor genes (*srg-36* and *srg-37*), which were previously shown to be lost in population-dense laboratory cultures. A common natural deletion in *srg-37* arose recently from a single ancestral population. We found that many local populations harbour individuals with a wild-type or a deletion allele of *srg-37*, suggesting that balancing selection has maintained the recent variation in this pheromone receptor gene. The two *srg-37* genotypes are associated with niche diversity underlying boom-and-bust population dynamics. We hypothesize that human activities likely contributed to the gene flow and balancing selection of *srg-37* variation through facilitating the migration of species and providing a favourable niche for the recently arisen *srg-37* deletion.

o maximize reproductive success, organisms must respond to changing environmental conditions. In a fluctuating environment, each response will likely have a fitness trade-off with reproductive success now or in the future. Caenorhabditis elegans can either grow to a reproductive adult in three days or delay maturity for months by entering the dauer diapause stage¹. Food supply and pheromone signals act oppositely to promote either further reproductive growth or the development of a stress-resistant and long-lived dauer stage2,3. C. elegans secretes sugar-based pheromone compounds called ascarosides⁴, and individuals must measure the amount of remaining food and the ascaroside pheromones to determine whether it is advantageous to continue reproductive growth or to enter the dauer stage, disperse and hopefully encounter a new food source. Therefore, dauer formation decreases reproductive success in the short term in favour of future survival success. Decades of research have provided insights into the chemical and genetic bases of the dauer-pheromone response⁵. However, most studies used a single laboratory-adapted strain (N2), which has limited our understanding of the natural processes that have shaped the dauer-pheromone response.

After decades of focused laboratory research on *C. elegans* as a model organism, the natural history of this species has only recently been described from extensive field research⁶. These field studies have revealed that the dauer stage is important for the population dynamics in their natural habitat⁷. These dynamics are typified by a boom phase after the initial colonization of a nutrient-rich habitat, followed by a bust phase when resources are depleted. At the end of

the boom phase when the local population size is large and nutrients are limited, individual animals enter the dauer stage. Dauers exhibit a stage-specific behaviour called nictation, which facilitates interspecific interactions between dauer larvae and more mobile animals to disperse to favourable environments^{8,9}. Because dauer larvae are presumed to play a crucial role in the survival and dispersal of the species, it is likely that the genetic controls of dauer formation are under natural selection. Although differences in dauer development among a small number of wild C. elegans strains have been described previously¹⁰⁻¹⁵, no underlying natural genetic variant has been identified. Here, we integrate laboratory experiments, computational genomic analyses and field research to further our understanding of the genetic basis underlying intraspecific variation in pheromone-mediated developmental plasticity. We identify natural genetic variation in responses to dauer pheromones and characterize a pheromone receptor allele that has spread around the globe.

Results

Natural variation of the dauer-pheromone response was measured using a high-throughput dauer assay. To explore the effects of natural genetic variation on the ability to enter the dauer stage, we developed a high-throughput dauer assay (HTDA) to quantify the dauer-pheromone responses of wild *C. elegans* strains. The HTDA takes advantage of the observation that dauer larvae have no pharyngeal pumping¹⁶. We treated animals with fluorescent microspheres that can be ingested, and we then quantified both the fluorescence and size of individual animals using a large-particle flow

¹Department of Molecular Biosciences, Northwestern University, Evanston, IL, USA. ²Interdisciplinary Biological Sciences Program, Northwestern University, Evanston, IL, USA. ³Institut de Biologie de l'Ecole Normale Supérieure, CNRS, Inserm, École Normale Supérieure, Paris Sciences et Lettres, Paris, France. ⁴Biodiversity Research Center, Academia Sinica, Taipei, Taiwan. ⁵Laboratory of Nematology, Wageningen University and Research, Wageningen, The Netherlands. ⁶Université Côte d'Azur, CNRS, Inserm, IBV, Nice, France. ⁷Boyce Thompson Institute and Department of Chemistry and Chemical Biology, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY, USA. ⁸Present address: The Francis Crick Institute, London, UK. *e-mail: Erik.Andersen@Northwestern.edu

ARTICLES

NATURE ECOLOGY & EVOLUTION



Fig. 1 An HTDA measures the natural variation of the dauer-pheromone response. a, The workflow for the HTDA using a COPAS BIOSORT (see Methods for further description). G, generation. The bottom plot shows relative animal length measured by time-of-flight (μ m) on the *x* axis and bead-derived green fluorescent intensity (arbitrary units, a.u.) on the *y* axis. Animal size and fluorescence-intensity traits are used as variables to build a model that differentiates dauer (blue) and non-dauer (orange) populations. Each point corresponds to the measurement of an individual animal, coloured by the stage. b, Measurements of the laboratory wild-type strain (N2) (top) and a Daf-c mutant, *daf-2(e1370)* (bottom), are shown under control (left) and pheromone-treated (ascr#5 800 nM) conditions (right) at 25 °C using the HTDA. Animal size and fluorescence-intensity traits are used as variables to build a model that differentiates dauer (blue) and non-dauer (orange) populations. Relative animal length measured by time-of-flight (μ m) is shown on the *x* axis, and bead-derived green fluorescent intensity (arbitrary units, a.u.) is shown on the *y* axis. **c**, Tukey box plots of the dauer fraction quantification from **b** are shown with data points plotted behind. Box plots are coloured by assay conditions (control (red) and ascr#5 800 nM treatment (blue)). The genotypes are shown on the *x* axis, and fractions of dauer larvae are shown on the *y* axis. **d**, Tukey box plots of the ascr#5 dose response at 25 °C for four divergent strains are shown with data points plotted behind. In **c** and **d**, the horizontal line in the middle of the box is the median, and the box denotes the 25th to 75th quantiles of the data. The vertical line represents 1.5x interquartile range.

cytometer (COPAS BIOSORT, Union Biometrica). These data facilitated computational classification of dauers (Fig. 1a,b and Methods) and recapitulated the known differences in the dauer-pheromone responses between N2 and a constitutive dauer mutant (Daf-c), *daf-2(e1370)*, as well as the dauer-inducing effect of synthetic pheromone (Fig. 1b,c). To determine whether genetic variation within *C. elegans* causes differential dauer-pheromone responses, we applied the HTDA to four genetically divergent *C. elegans* strains after treatment with various concentrations of three known dauerinducing synthetic ascarosides (ascr#2, ascr#3 and ascr#5). We found significant variation in the dauer-pheromone responses among the strains tested, as measured by the fraction of individuals that entered the dauer stage (Fig. 1d and Supplementary Fig. 1). Among the conditions we tested, we found that 800 nM ascr#5 maximizes the among-strain variance and minimizes the within-strain variance in the dauer-pheromone response. These results enabled us to survey the effects of genetic variation on the dauer-pheromone response across *C. elegans*.

Genome-wide association mapping reveals multiple loci underlying natural variation in the ascr#5 response. Next, we quantified dauer induction of 157 wild strains that have been isolated from diverse habitats across six continents (Supplementary Fig. 2)^{17,18}. We found significant variation in the ascr#5 response with a broadsense heritability estimate of 0.29 (H^2 , s.e.m.=0.14) and a narrowsense heritability estimate of 0.18 (h^2 , s.e.m.=0.12) (Fig. 2a and Methods). The two strains that represent the phenotypic extremes of the ascr#5 response are EG4349 and JU2576: EG4349 did not enter dauer and was completely insensitive to ascr#5 treatment, and a large fraction of the JU2576 individuals entered the dauer stage

ARTICLES



Fig. 2 | GWA mapping reveals four major loci underlying natural variation in the dauer-pheromone response. a, A bar plot for the natural variation of ascr#5-induced dauer formation at 25 °C across 157 C. elegans wild isolates (one-way analysis of variance, log(P) = -49.6598). Each bar represents the phenotypic response of a single wild isolate to 800 nM ascr#5. b, A Manhattan plot for single-marker-based GWA mapping of the ascr#5-induced dauer formation trait from a. Each dot represents a single-nucleotide variant (SNV) that is present in at least 5% of the 157 wild strains. The genomic position in Mb, separated by chromosome, is plotted on the x axis, and the statistical significance of the correlation between genotype and phenotype is plotted on the y axis. Two significance thresholds are shown. The dashed horizontal line denotes the Bonferronicorrected P value threshold using all markers, and the solid horizontal line denotes the Bonferroni-corrected P value threshold using independent markers correcting for LD (genome-wide eigen-decomposition significance threshold). SNVs are coloured red if they pass the second threshold. The region of interest for each QTL is represented by vertical blue dashed lines. c, Tukey box plots of phenotypes split by peak marker position of the four QTL. Each dot corresponds to the phenotype of an individual strain, which is plotted on the y axis as the normalized dauer fraction phenotype. Strains are grouped by their genotype at each peak QTL position, where REF (blue) corresponds to the reference allele from the laboratory N2 strain and ALT (red) corresponds to the alternative allele. The horizontal line in the middle of the box is the median, and the box denotes the 25th to 75th quantiles of the data. The vertical line represents 1.5x interquartile range.

in the same condition. Overall, we observed a continuous distribution of dauer-pheromone responses among these wild strains (mean = 0.41, s.d. = 0.20), indicating that natural variation in this trait is likely not explained by a single gene.

To characterize the quantitative trait loci (QTL) associated with variation in the ascr#5 response, we performed genome-wide association (GWA) mappings and identified four QTL (Fig. 2b,c).

The QTL that explained the most variation in pheromone-induced dauer induction (15.9%) is on the right arm of the X chromosome. Strains that have the non-reference (ALT) allele at the peak marker (X:14145335) of this QTL were less responsive to ascr#5 treatment than strains that have the reference (REF) allele (REF mean: 0.46; ALT mean: 0.30; $\log(P) = -5.851505$). The remaining QTL on chromosomes II, III and IV explain 8.4%, 15.1% and 5.4% of the variation in the ascr#5 response, respectively. Because population structure can drive the mapping of loci that are in interchromosomal linkage disequilibrium (LD) with causal QTL, we checked the LD among the four QTL. We did not detect any obvious LD among these QTL (Supplementary Fig. 3), suggesting that multiple independent genomic loci underlie natural variation in the ascr#5 response.

A putative loss-of-function allele in an ascr#5 receptor gene is associated with reduced dauer formation. We focused our efforts on the QTL with the largest effect, which we named *dauf-1* (dauerformation QTL #1). The 469 kb surrounding the *dauf-1* peak marker contains 82 protein-coding genes (Supplementary Fig. 4), including the duplicated genes *srg-36* and *srg-37*, which encode ascr#5 receptors¹⁹. Both genes are expressed in the same pair of chemosensory neurons (ASI), which play an essential role in the dauer-pheromone response^{20,21}. Previous studies reported that both *srg-36* and *srg-37* are repeatedly deleted during long-term propagation of two independent laboratory-domesticated *C. elegans* lineages in high-density liquid cultures¹⁹.

To evaluate whether similar mutations in these two genes underlie the dauf-1 QTL, we investigated the genome sequences of 249 wild strains available through the C. elegans Natural Diversity Resource (CeNDR)^{22,23}. Although we could not find a large deletion that removes both srg-36 and srg-37, we found only one strain with a 411-base-pair (bp) deletion in srg-36 and many other strains with an identical 94-bp deletion in srg-37 (Fig. 3a and Supplementary Fig. 5). We named these deletions srg-36(ean178) and srg-37(ean179). To test whether these deletions can explain the dauf-1 QTL effect, we analysed the association between the ascr#5 response and the two deletions. First, we found that srg-36(ean178), which is a deletion found only in the PB303 strain and removes the fourth and fifth exons, is associated with an insensitivity to a high dose of ascr#5 (2µM) (Supplementary Fig. 6). Because this deletion allele was not found in any other wild strains, srg-36(ean178) cannot explain the population-wide differences in dauer formation. By contrast, we found that all wild strains with the srg-37(ean179) deletion belong to the *dauf-1(ALT*) group and had reduced ascr#5 sensitivity (Fig. 3b; Welch's *t*-test, $P = 3.152 \times 10^{-6}$), suggesting that this deletion allele might cause a reduction in the acr#5 response.

The srg-37(ean179) deletion removes 31 amino acids surrounding the pocket structure of the G protein-coupled receptor and causes a frameshift mutation for the 46 C-terminal amino acids, together removing 23% (77/324) of the predicted SRG-37 amino acid sequence. Thus, this deletion likely impairs SRG-37 function, which could cause lower ascr#5 sensitivity. We hypothesized that, if srg-37(ean179) causes loss of gene function, removal of additional srg-37 coding sequences would not further reduce the ascr#5 sensitivity of srg-37(ean179) wild strains. Using clustered regularly interspaced short palindromic repeats and CRISPR-associated protein 9 (CRISPR-Cas9) genome editing^{24,25}, we removed most of the coding sequences of srg-37 from wild strains with both wild-type (reference-like) srg-37 and the natural srg-37 deletion (Fig. 3a). We observed that a large deletion in srg-37 did not change the ascr#5 sensitivities of two wild isolates with the natural deletion, but reduced the ascr#5 sensitivities of five wild isolates with referencelike srg-37 (Fig. 3c and Supplementary Fig. 7), indicating that the natural deletion is likely a loss-of-function allele. Taken together, these results show that deletion of an ascr#5 receptor gene underlies

ARTICLES



Fig. 3 | **A** natural variant in the ascr#5 receptor gene, srg-37, underlies natural differences in dauer formation. **a**, A schematic plot for the *srg-37* gene structure (grey), 94-bp natural deletion allele *ean179* (red) and CRISPR-Cas9 genome-editing target sequences for the putative loss-of-function deletion (purple). **b**, Tukey box plots of dauer formation split by *srg-37* genotype. Each dot corresponds to the phenotype of an individual strain, which is plotted on the *y* axis by the normalized dauer fraction. Strains are grouped by their *srg-37* genotype, where REF (blue) corresponds to the wild-type reference allele from the laboratory N2 strain and DEL (red) corresponds to the natural 94-bp deletion allele (*ean179*). **c**, Tukey box plots of the ascr#5 dose-response differences at 25 °C among two wild isolates and *srg-37*(Δ) mutants in both backgrounds are shown with data points plotted behind. Dose response comparisons are shown between JU346 *srg-37*(+) (blue) and JU346 *srg-37*(Δ) (purple) (left) and between NIC166 *srg-37(ean179)* (red) and NIC166 *srg-37*(Δ) (purple) (right). **d**, Tajima's *D* statistics across the *srg-36 srg-37* locus. Each dot corresponds to a Tajima's *D* statistic calculated from the allele frequency spectrum of 50 SNVs across 249 wild isolates. The gene structures of *srg-36* and *srg-37* are shown below the plot. **e**, Tukey box plots of *srg-36* and *srg-37* loss-of-function experiments under control (red, 0.4% ethanol) and ascr#5 pheromone conditions (blue, 2 μ M ascr#5) at 25 °C are shown with data points plotted behind. Genotypes of *srg-36* and *srg-37* are shown on the *x* axis, where triangles represent the CRISPR-Cas9-mediated deletions. In **b**, **c** and **e**, the horizontal line in the middle of the box is the median, and the box denotes the 25th to 75th quantiles of the data. The vertical line represents 1.5× interquartile range.

natural variation in the dauer-pheromone response across the *C. elegans* population.

Selection has shaped the genetic variation of the two duplicated C. elegans ascr#5-receptor genes. We performed population genetic analysis across the srg-36 and srg-37 region by analysing the genome sequences of 249 wild strains. Natural selection and demographic change can shift the allele frequency spectrum from neutrality, as measured by Tajima's D^{26} . Purifying selection, a selective sweep or a recent population expansion can cause accumulation of rare alleles at a given locus, indicated by a negative Tajima's D value. We found that the Tajima's D values were lowest across the promoter and coding regions of srg-36 and increased back to background neutrality rates in the promoter region of srg-37 (Fig. 3d and Supplementary Fig. 8). Differences in deletion allele frequencies between srg-36 and srg-37 suggest stronger purifying selection at srg-36. The 411-bp deletion allele, srg-36(ean178), is found only in a single wild isolate (PB303), whereas 18.4% (46/249) of wild isotypes (genome-wide genotypes) carry the 94-bp deletion allele, srg-37(ean179).

Although srg-36 and srg-37 are duplicated genes that are activated by the same ligand and are expressed in the same cells, differences in non-coding and coding sequences between the two genes can cause differences in gene expression levels and receptor activities. Previous studies report that transgene expression of srg-36 showed a stronger effect than srg-37 on the ascr#5 response¹⁹. To test whether srg-36, which is likely under stronger purifying selection than srg-37, plays a larger role in the ascr#5 response, we performed loss-of-function experiments. We removed the entire srg-36 coding region in two wild strains: JU346 with wild-type (reference-like) srg-37 and NIC166 with the natural srg-37 deletion (Supplementary Fig. 5). We found that the loss-of-function allele, *srg-36(lf)*, reduced ascr#5 sensitivity of both strains, indicating that srg-36 is functional in both genetic backgrounds (Fig. 3e). We also observed that the loss of srg-36 reduced ascr#5 sensitivity more than the loss of srg-37, supporting the conclusion that srg-36 plays a larger role than srg-37 in the ascr#5 response.

The higher activity of *srg-36* could be explained by differences in gene expression levels. We investigated the relative levels of

ARTICLES



Fig. 4 | Worldwide and niche-associated gene flow shapes the ascr#5 pheromone receptor locus. a, The global distribution of wild strains that contain the *srg-37(ean179)* deletion allele (red circles). **b**, The geographic distribution of wild strains that are sampled from Europe. Wild strains that contain the *srg-37* deletion (red circles) are shown. **c**, Sharing of the swept haplotype on the X chromosome among 46 wild isotypes with *srg-37(ean179)* is shown. Each row is one of the 46 isotypes, ordered roughly by the extent of swept-haplotype sharing (red). Other haplotypes are coloured grey. The genomic position on the X chromosome is shown on the *x* axis. The blue line shows the position of the *srg-37* locus. **d**, The genome-wide tree of 249 *C. elegans* wild isolates with those strains that have the *srg-37* deletion shown in red. **e**, Stacked bar plots of *srg-37(+)* and *srg-37(ean179)* allele frequencies among three subpopulations that were sampled from different substrates across a hybrid zone in Europe (see Methods).

srg-36 and *srg-37* at the first larval stage (L1), when these genes play critical roles in the dauer-pheromone response, and found that the expression levels of both genes are not significantly different (Supplementary Fig. 9; paired *t*-test, P=0.1981; Methods). It is more likely that differences in protein-coding sequences cause the functional differences in the ascr#5 response. Although SRG-36 and SRG-37 show similarities in size and transmembrane structures (Supplementary Fig. 10)²⁷, only 46.4% of the amino acid residues are conserved between both receptors. The molecular differences between the two ascr#5 receptor scould cause quantitative differences in ascr#5-receptor activities. We therefore hypothesized that *srg-36* is the primary ascr#5-receptor gene and is maintained across *C. elegans* through purifying selection. By contrast, the redundancy of these two genes might allow *srg-37* variation, and a loss-of-function allele can arise and spread across the population.

The *srg-37* deletion has spread globally and outcrossed with diverse genotypes. We investigated the locations where wild strains with the natural *srg-37* deletion were isolated and found that 46 wild isotypes with this allele were isolated from all six continents (Fig. 4a,b). Given the low probability of acquiring the same 94-bp deletion, we hypothesized that this allele did not independently arise across multiple global locations but originated from a single ancestral population and spread throughout the world. To test this hypothesis, we analysed the haplotype composition of *C. elegans* wild isolates across the X chromosome. We reproduced previous

studies that showed a recent global selective sweep on the X chromosome (Supplementary Figs. 11 and 12)²⁸. We found that all 46 isotypes with the srg-37 deletion exclusively share the swept haplotype at the srg-37 locus (Fig. 4c). By contrast, none of 203 isotypes with wild-type *srg-37* carries the swept haplotype at the *srg-37* locus. This result not only demonstrates that this allele arose at a single location, but also implies that it has spread throughout the world along with the recent selective sweep. Because the srg-37 locus is far from the most swept part of the X chromosome, many strains must have outcrossed, suggesting that *srg-37* is unlikely the driver of the X chromosome sweep. Specifically, we found that 34.1% (85/249) of wild isotypes have an X chromosome that is swept more than 50% of its length but have diverse non-swept haplotypes at the srg-37 locus (Supplementary Fig. 13). Additionally, the genome-wide tree of 249 wild C. elegans isotypes shows that the srg-37 deletion is not present in many subpopulations (Fig. 4d). These results suggest that the srg-37 deletion spread globally with the selective sweeps but has been purged after more recent outcrossing.

Two different *srg-37* **genotypes coexist and associate with different niches.** These signatures of multiple outcrossing events imply the co-occurance of wild strains with and without the *srg-37* deletion in the same habitats. Indeed, we found that many local populations across the world harbour distinct individuals with either the wild-type *srg-37* or the deletion allele (Supplementary Fig. 14; see Methods). Because each genotype can be adaptive to different

environmental conditions, we analysed the allele frequencies of the srg-37 deletion among three subpopulations sampled from animals, compost and rotting fruits across geographic locations where both srg-37 alleles were isolated. Because reduction of the dauer-pheromone response can promote reproductive growth, we investigated whether wild strains with the srg-37 deletion were sampled more often from substrates with proliferating populations. These populations are often found in nutritious habitats, such as rotting vegetation²⁹. By contrast, C. elegans were sampled predominantly in the dauer stage from animal and compost substrates^{6,30}. We found that wild strains with the srg-37 deletion were 67% enriched in rotting fruits (Fig. 4e and Supplementary Data 1; hypergeometric test, P = 0.0026). Thus, this allele is associated not only with lower dauer-pheromone responses but also with natural substrates that are known to support reproductive growth. We also analysed $F_{\rm ST}$ statistics of the entire X chromosome for subpopulations from different substrates across shared geographic regions. Consistent with the niche association pattern of srg-37 genotypes, we found the highest genetic divergence between the subpopulation from rotting fruit and the subpopulation from animal substrates at a genomic locus around the srg-37 gene (Supplementary Fig. 15).

Discussion

Dauer pheromones are chemical signals that are perceived by sensory neurons using chemoreceptors and cyclic guanosine monophosphate-mediated signalling^{5,31}. In the absence of dauerpheromone signalling, the insulin/insulin-like growth factor 1 and transforming growth factor beta signalling pathways promote reproductive growth through the production of steroid hormones (dafachronic acid)³². Genetic variation in the genes that mediate pheromone perception or downstream signalling likely alter an individual's dauer-pheromone response. However, because the signalling pathways that act downstream of pheromone perception are involved in various biological processes^{33,34}, mutations in these pathways might cause deleterious pleiotropic effects. Previous studies have shown that the ascr#5 receptors SRG-36 and SRG-37 were lost in two independent laboratory lineages of C. elegans¹⁹, suggesting that selection more readily acts at the pheromone perception step of this developmental pathway. In this study, we provide further support for this hypothesis by showing that 18% of wild C. elegans strains harbour a putative loss-of-function deletion in only the ascr#5 receptor SRG-37, and that these individuals are more likely to be found in nutrient-rich habitats. Modification of pheromone-receptor activity might thus be favoured in both laboratory and natural conditions to fine-tune dauer-pheromone responses with few pleiotropic effects^{19,35}. However, we identified additional dauer-pheromone response QTL, suggesting that multiple loci are involved in ascr#5 responses. Interestingly, SRG-36 and SRG-37 are the only two known ascr#5 receptors involved in dauer-pheromone signalling. The presence of three additional ascr#5-response QTL suggests that natural genetic variants could affect uncharacterized ascr#5 receptors, novel or known factors that regulate receptor activity, or downstream signalling components.

Insights into the redundant functions of *srg-36* and *srg-37* were first gained from the observation that both genes were deleted from two independent laboratory-domesticated *C. elegans* lineages¹⁹. We did not find a single wild strain in the *C. elegans* population that carries a deletion of both *srg-36* and *srg-37*. Investigations of neutrality statistics (Tajima's *D*) suggest that selection acts on these two genes differently. Our results indicate that the *srg-36* and *srg-37* genes might not be functionally equivalent in the wild population. The loss-of-function experiments suggest that *srg-36* plays a larger role in the ascr#5 response than *srg-37*. Substantial differences in amino acid sequences between SRG-36 and SRG-37 suggest that the SRG-37 protein is likely to have less ascr#5 binding affinity or weaker signal transduction activity than SRG-36. It is also possible that redundancy between SRG-36 and SRG-37 has been reduced since the time of gene duplication, and SRG-37 could gain sensitivities to other ascarosides while SRG-36 has maintained its ascr#5 specificity. Given the important role of the dauer stage in the long-term survival and dispersal of the species, purifying selection might act to conserve the primary ascr#5 receptor (SRG-36) in the *C. elegans* population to maintain the responsiveness to the dauer inducing pheromone ascr#5.

In contrast to the rare deletion of srg-36, we identified a common deletion allele (18% allele frequency) of srg-37 in the global C. elegans population. We discovered that strains harbouring different srg-37 genotypes (wild-type and deletion) have been found often in close proximity at various locations across the world, suggesting that balancing selection might have maintained both genotypes in local habitats. Previously, features of balancing selection were also reported for a locus with other pheromone-receptor genes (srx-43 and srx-44) that underlie differences in C. elegans density-dependent foraging behaviour^{36,37}. Differences in food distribution can exert bidirectional fitness effects on foraging behaviour. Similar to these effects, dauer formation can be disadvantageous during the population growth phase (boom phase) but beneficial during the dispersal phase (bust phase). Therefore, we hypothesize that the loss of srg-37, which reduces dauer formation, has trade-off effects between the boom and bust phases. Niche association patterns of srg-37 genotypes support this hypothesis. We found that wild strains with the srg-37 deletion are enriched in a rotting fruit niche, where ample bacterial food can support population growth during the boom phase. By contrast, the srg-37 deletion is not enriched in wild strains isolated from animal carriers, which is consistent with known behavioural ecology during the bust phase when dauer larvae can readily hitchhike on other animals for their dispersal^{6,8,9}. Our F_{ST} analysis also demonstrated significant genetic divergence at the srg-37 locus between wild strains isolated from rotting fruit and those isolated from animal carrier substrates. These observations suggest that the boom-and-bust population dynamics in wild habitats likely drive balancing selection of srg-37.

Population genomic analyses of the srg-37 locus imply that the srg-37 deletion arose recently and balancing selection might have occurred only for a short period. We found that strains with the srg-37 deletion all share the same swept haplotype at the srg-37 locus, which is estimated to have spread worldwide in the last few centuries28. Because mutation and recombination decrease LD between a selected allele and the surrounding variants over time, this haplotype homogeneity suggests that the deletion allele arose recently. Moreover, we found no genomic signatures of long-term balancing selection. Tajima's D statistics for the srg-37 locus did not show typical features of long-term balancing selection (that is, D was not much greater than 0). We also found that genetic diversity (π) is reduced at the srg-37 locus in strains that carry the srg-37 deletion compared to strains that carry the srg-37(+) wild-type allele (Supplementary Fig. 16). This result is a signature of a recently established balanced situation³⁸. We hypothesize that this recent balancing selection is related to human activities, which were also suggested to be drivers of the recent global selective sweeps²⁸. Agriculture could have provided nutritious niches and therefore expanded boom phases spatiotemporally, which is likely to cause an increase in selective pressures to maintain the srg-37 deletion. Furthermore, human migration could facilitate the worldwide gene flow of the srg-37 deletion allele. Our study implies that human civilization might exert a large impact on the natural selection and evolution of wild species.

Methods

C. elegans strains. Animals were cultured at 20 °C on modified nematode growth medium seeded with the *Escherichia coli* strain OP50³⁹. Before each assay, strains were passaged for at least four generations without entering starvation or encountering dauer-inducing conditions. For the GWA studies, 157 wild isolates



from CeNDR (version 20170531) were used^{22,23}. All strain information can be found in Supplementary Data 2.

High-throughput dauer assay. Strains were propagated for four generations on agar plates, followed by bleach synchronization. Approximately 50 embryos were titred and placed into each well of a 96-well microtitre plate filled with 50 µl of K medium⁴⁰ with modified salt concentrations (10.2 mM NaCl, 32 mM KCl, 3 mM CaCl₂, 3 mM MgSO₄), 50 µM kanamycin, 5 mg ml⁻¹ HB101 bacterial lysate (Pennsylvania State University Shared Fermentation Facility) and synthetic ascaroside⁴¹ dissolved in 0.4% ethanol or 0.4% ethanol alone. Animals were cultured for 52 h at 25 °C until they reached the young adult stage or arrested at the dauer stage. Animals were exposed to 0.5 µm fluorescent microspheres (Polysciences, cat. no. 19507-5) at a final concentration of 7.28×108 particles ml-1 and 5µl of 1 mg ml-1 HB101 bacterial lysate to promote feeding for 20 min. After this exposure, 200 µl of 50 mM sodium azide was added to each well to kill the animals, stop feeding and straighten the animals. Using the COPAS BIOSORT large particle flow cytometer (Union Biometrica), optical parameters of animals, including fluorescence intensity, TOF (animal length) and extinction (optical density), were measured. Measured parameters were used to build a model that can differentiate dauer and adult stages of the population in each well through the R package EMCluster⁴². One cluster with lower fluorescence and smaller body size was assigned to the dauer population and the other to the non-dauer population. The dauer fraction was calculated per well as a fraction of dauer animals among total animals, which is shown as a single data point in each plot. From the control experiments, both the false-positive ratio (false dauer detection in a wild-type sample without pheromone treatment) and the false-negative ratio (false nondauer detection in Daf-c mutant sample) were 5%, indicating 95% accuracy of the assay (Fig. 1b,c).

GWA mapping. A GWA mapping was performed using phenotype data from 157 wild C. elegans strains. The dauer fractions of 157 wild strains in ascr#5treated (800 nM) conditions were measured from four batches of experiments with three independent HTDAs each. Contaminated, overcrowded (n > 80) or uncrowded (n < 20) samples were filtered out from the dataset. Normalized dauer fractions were calculated using a linear model, dauer fraction ~ batch. Genotype data were acquired from the latest VCF release (Release 20180527) from CeNDR that was imputed as described previously²². We used BCFtools⁴³ to filter variants that had any missing genotype calls and variants that were below 5% minor allele frequency. We used PLINK version 1.944,45 to LD-prune the genotypes at a threshold of $r^2 < 0.8$, using indep-pairwise 50 10 0.8. The pruned genotype set comprised 72,568 markers that were used to generate the realized additive kinship matrix using the A.mat function in the rrBLUP R package⁴⁶. These markers were also used for genome-wide mapping. However, because these markers still have substantial LD within this genotype set, we performed eigen decomposition of the correlation matrix of the genotype matrix using the eigs_sym function in the Rspectra package47. The correlation matrix was generated using the cor function in the correlateR R package48. We set any eigenvalue greater than 1 from this analysis to 1 and summed all of the resulting eigenvalues⁴⁹. This number was 915.621, which corresponds to the number of independent tests within the genotype matrix. We used the GWAS function in the rrBLUP package to perform genomewide mapping with the following command: rrBLUP::GWAS(pheno = dauer, geno=Pruned_Markers, K=KINSHIP, min.MAF=0.05, n.core=1, P3D=FALSE, plot = FALSE). Regions of interest are defined as ± 100 single-nucleotide variants (SNVs) from the rightmost and leftmost markers above the eigen-decomposition significance threshold. If regions of interest for separate QTL are within 1,000 SNVs, they become grouped as a single region of interest.

Heritability calculations. Estimates of H^2 and h^2 were calculated using the phenotype data of 157 wild strains from the GWA mapping (ascr#5 800 nM). The A.mat and E.mat functions in the sommer R package were used to generate an additive genotype matrix and an epistatic genotype matrix, respectively, from the genotype matrix used for the GWA mapping⁵⁰. These matrices were used to calculate the additive and epistatic variance components using the sommer mmer function. Variance components were used to estimate heritability and standard error through the pin function ($H^2 \sim V1 + V2/V1 + V2 + V3$; $h^2 \sim V1/V1 + V2 + V3$) in the sommer package.

Identification of natural deletion variants of *srg***-36 and** *srg***-37.** Whole-genome sequence data were aligned to WS245 using bwa (version 0.7.8-r455) with the following default parameters: number of threads (t) = 1, minimum seed length (k) = 19, bandwidth (w) = 100, Z-dropoff (d) = 100, trigger re-seeding for a MEM longer than minSeedLen*FLOAT (r) = 1.5, discard a MEM if it has more than INT occurences in the genome (c) = 10,000, matching score (A) = 1, mismatch penalty (B) = 4, gap open penalty (O) = 6, gap extension penalty (E) = 1, clipping penalty (L) = 5, penalty for an unpaired read pair (U) = 9, output alignment score threshold (T) = 30, control the verbose level of the output (v) = 3. Optical/PCR duplicates were marked with PICARD (version 1.111)^{22,51-53}. Alignments with greater than 100× coverage were subsampled to 100× using sambamba⁵⁴. We called large deletions using the Manta structural variant caller (version 1.4.0) using the default

caller and filter settings (MinQUAL=20, MinGQ=15, MinSomaticScore=30, MaxMQ0Frac=0.4)⁵⁵.

Generation of srg-36 and srg-37 deletion strains. We generated srg-36 and srg-37 loss-of-function mutant strains by CRISPR-Cas9-mediated genome editing, using a co-CRISPR approach and Cas9 ribonucleoprotein delivery^{24,25}. CRISPR RNAs (crRNAs) synthesized by IDT targeting srg-36 (exon 1 and the 3' untranslated region) and srg-37 (exon 2 and exon 5) were used to generate deletions. The injection mixture (10 µl) was prepared with 0.88 µl of 200 µM trans-activating CRISPR RNA (tracrRNA) (IDT, product no. 1072532), 0.88 µl of 100 µM crRNA1 (5' targeting) and crRNA2 (3' targeting), and 0.12 µl of 100 µM dpy-10 crRNA (IDT) and was incubated at 95 °C for 5 min. After cooling to room temperature, 2.87 µl of 60 µM Cas9 protein (IDT, product no. 1074181) was added and incubated at room temperature for 5 min. Finally, 0.5 µl of 10 µM dpy-10 ssODN (IDT) repair template and 3.99 µl of nuclease-free water were added. Ribonucleoprotein injection mixtures were microinjected into the germline of young adult hermaphrodites (P0), and injected animals were singled to fresh 6 cm NGM plates 18 h after injection. Two days later, F1 progeny were screened, and animals expressing a Rol phenotype were transferred to new plates and allowed to generate progeny (F2). Then, F1 animals were genotyped by PCR. Deletion of srg-36 was detected with primers oECA1460-1463, and deletion of srg-37 was detected with primers oECA1429, oECA1430 and oECA1435. Non-Rol progeny (F2) of F1 animals positive for the desired deletion were propagated on separate plates to generate homozygous progeny. F2 animals were genotyped afterwards with same primer sets, and PCR products were Sanger sequenced for verification. All crRNA and oligonucleotide sequences are listed in Supplementary Table 1.

Gene expression analysis of *srg***-36 and** *srg***-37.** Gene expression levels of *srg***-36** and *srg***-37** at the L1 larval stage (WBIs:0000024) in the N2 strain were analysed from published whole-animal (WBbt:0007833) RNA-seq datasets (ERP003471, SRP000253, SRP000401, SRP003492, SRP003783, SRP008969, SRP010374, SRP034522, SRP040623, SRP058023)⁵⁶⁻⁶⁵. To equally weight datasets with different numbers of replicates, mean values of fragments per kilobase of transcript per million mapped reads for each dataset were used for gene expression comparisons.

Population genetics. Sliding window analyses of population genetic statistics (Tajima's D, F_{ST} and π) were performed using the PopGenome package in R⁶⁶. All sliding window analyses were performed using the imputed SNV VCF available on the CeNDR website with the most diverged strains, XZ1516, set as the outgroup^{22,67,68}. The LD of the QTL markers, which can be measured as the square of the correlation coefficient (r^2) , was calculated using the genetics package in \mathbb{R}^{69} . The formula for the correlation coefficient is $r = -D/\sqrt{(p(A) \times p(a) \times p(B) \times p(b))}$, where *D* is the coefficient of linkage disequilibrium, p(A) = the observed probability of allele 'A' for marker 1, p(a) is the observed probability of allele 'a' for marker 1, p(B) is the observed probability of allele 'B' for marker 1 and p(b) is the observed probability of allele 'b' for marker 1. Haplotype composition of each wild isolate was inferred by applying IBDseq70 with variants called by BCFtools71 and the following filters: depth (DP) > 10; mapping quality (MQ) > 40; variant quality (QUAL) > 10; (alternate-allelic depth (AD) / total depth (DP)) ratio > 0.5; <10% missing genotypes; <10% heterozygosity. To generate the genome-wide tree, a whole-population relatedness analysis was performed using RAxML-ng with the GTR+FO substitution model (https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.593079). SNVs were LD-pruned using PLINK (v1.9) with the indep-pairwise command 'indep-pairwise 50 1 0.95'. We used the vcf2phylip.py script (https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.1257058) to convert the pruned VCF files to the PHYLIP format⁷² required to run RAxML-ng. To construct the tree that included 249 strains, we used the GTR evolutionary model available in RAxML-ng73,74. Trees were visualized using the ggtree (version 1.10.5) R package75.

Substrate specificity analysis in the cosampling zone. The cosampling zone was defined as a location where both *srg*-*37*(+) and *srg*-*37(ean179)* were isolated (Supplementary Fig. 11). Collection information available on the CeNDR website was used to analyse correlations between the isolated substrate and the *srg*-*37* genotype of each isolate. Isolations of wild strains that shared the same genomewide genotypes (isotype) were counted as independent isolations if they were sampled from different locations or from different substrate types. We found that 95 isotypes were isolated in the cosampling zone from at least 119 independent isolations. Three substrates (animals, compost and rotting fruit) with more than ten independent isolated strains were selected for the substrate enrichment test. In total, 82 wild strains (66 isotypes) were grouped into three subpopulations by the substrate where they were isolated, and allele frequencies of each subpopulation was determined by hypergeometric tests using the stats R package⁷⁶.

Reporting Summary. Further information on research design is available in the Nature Research Reporting Summary linked to this article.

Data availability

All datasets, including HTDA raw data, for generating figures are available on GitHub (https://github.com/AndersenLab/DauerSRG3637).

ARTICLES

NATURE ECOLOGY & EVOLUTION

Code availability

All code for generating figures is available on GitHub (https://github.com/ AndersenLab/DauerSRG3637).

Received: 26 March 2019; Accepted: 14 August 2019; Published online: 23 September 2019

References

- Cassada, R. C. & Russell, R. L. The dauerlarva, a post-embryonic developmental variant of the nematode *Caenorhabditis elegans*. Dev. Biol. 46, 326–342 (1975).
- Golden, J. W. & Riddle, D. L. The *Caenorhabditis elegans* dauer larva: developmental effects of pheromone, food, and temperature. *Dev. Biol.* 102, 368–378 (1984).
- Schaedel, O. N., Gerisch, B., Antebi, A. & Sternberg, P. W. Hormonal signal amplification mediates environmental conditions during development and controls an irreversible commitment to adulthood. *PLoS Biol.* 10, e1001306 (2012).
- 4. Schroeder, F. C. Modular assembly of primary metabolic building blocks: a chemical language in *C. elegans. Chem. Biol.* **22**, 7–16 (2015).
- Ludewig, A. H. & Schroeder, F. C. in WormBook (ed. The C. elegans Research Community) https://doi.org/10.1895/wormbook.1.155.1 (2013).
- Félix, M.-A. & Braendle, C. The natural history of *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *Curr. Biol.* 20, R965–R969 (2010).
- Frézal, L. & Félix, M.-A. C. elegans outside the Petri dish. Elife 4, e05849 (2015).
- 8. Lee, H. et al. Nictation, a dispersal behavior of the nematode *Caenorhabditis* elegans, is regulated by IL2 neurons. *Nat. Neurosci.* **15**, 107-112 (2011).
- 9. Lee, D. et al. The genetic basis of natural variation in a phoretic behavior. *Nat. Commun.* **8**, 273 (2017).
- 10. Viney, M. E., Gardner, M. P. & Jackson, J. A. Variation in *Caenorhabditis elegans* dauer larva formation. *Dev. Growth Differ.* **45**, 389–396 (2003).
- Harvey, S. C., Shorto, A. & Viney, M. E. Quantitative genetic analysis of life-history traits of *Caenorhabditis elegans* in stressful environments. *BMC Evol. Biol.* 8, 15 (2008).
- Green, J. W. M., Snoek, L. B., Kammenga, J. E. & Harvey, S. C. Genetic mapping of variation in dauer larvae development in growing populations of *Caenorhabditis elegans. Heredity* 111, 306–313 (2013).
- Green, J. W. M., Stastna, J. J., Orbidans, H. E. & Harvey, S. C. Highly polygenic variation in environmental perception determines dauer larvae formation in growing populations of *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *PLoS ONE* 9, e112830 (2014).
- 14. Diaz, S. A. et al. Diverse and potentially manipulative signalling with ascarosides in the model nematode *C. elegans. BMC Evol. Biol.* 14, 46 (2014).
- O'Donnell, M. P., Chao, P.-H., Kammenga, J. E. & Sengupta, P. Rictor/TORC2 mediates gut-to-brain signaling in the regulation of phenotypic plasticity in *C. elegans. PLoS Genet.* 14, e1007213 (2018).
- Nika, L., Gibson, T., Konkus, R. & Karp, X. Fluorescent beads are a versatile tool for staging *Caenorhabditis elegans* in different life histories. *G3 (Bethesda)* 6, 1923–1933 (2016).
- Evans, K. S. et al. Correlations of genotype with climate parameters suggest Caenorhabditis elegans niche adaptations. G3 (Bethesda) 7, 289–298 (2017).
- Schulenburg, H. & Félix, M.-A. The natural biotic environment of Caenorhabditis elegans. Genetics 206, 55–86 (2017).
- 19. McGrath, P. T. et al. Parallel evolution of domesticated *Caenorhabditis* species targets pheromone receptor genes. *Nature* **477**, 321–325 (2011).
- Bargmann, C. I. & Horvitz, H. R. Control of larval development by chemosensory neurons in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *Science* 251, 1243–1246 (1991).
- Schackwitz, W. S., Inoue, T. & Thomas, J. H. Chemosensory neurons function in parallel to mediate a pheromone response in *C. elegans. Neuron* 17, 719–728 (1996).
- 22. Cook, D. E., Zdraljevic, S., Roberts, J. P. & Andersen, E. C. CeNDR, the *Caenorhabditis elegans* Natural Diversity Resource. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **45**, D650–D657 (2017).
- Hahnel, S. R. et al. Extreme allelic heterogeneity at a *Caenorhabditis elegans* beta-tubulin locus explains natural resistance to benzimidazoles. *PLoS Pathog.* 14, e1007226 (2018).
- 24. Kim, H. et al. A co-CRISPR strategy for efficient genome editing in *Caenorhabditis elegans. Genetics* **197**, 1069–1080 (2014).
- Paix, A., Folkmann, A., Rasoloson, D. & Seydoux, G. High efficiency, homology-directed genome editing in *Caenorhabditis elegans* using CRISPR– Cas9 ribonucleoprotein complexes. *Genetics* 201, 47–54 (2015).
- Biswas, S. & Akey, J. M. Genomic insights into positive selection. Trends Genet. 22, 437–446 (2006).
- Chang, J.-M., Di Tommaso, P., Taly, J.-F. & Notredame, C. Accurate multiple sequence alignment of transmembrane proteins with PSI-Coffee. *BMC Bioinformatics* 13, S1 (2012).

- Andersen, E. C. et al. Chromosome-scale selective sweeps shape Caenorhabditis elegans genomic diversity. Nat. Genet. 44, 285–290 (2012).
- 29. Félix, M.-A. & Duveau, F. Population dynamics and habitat sharing of natural populations of *Caenorhabditis elegans* and *C. briggsae. BMC Biol.* **10**, 59 (2012).
- Barrière, A. & Félix, M.-A. High local genetic diversity and low outcrossing rate in *Caenorhabditis elegans* natural populations. *Curr. Biol.* 15, 1176–1184 (2005).
- Hu, P. J. in WormBook (ed. The C. elegans Research Community) https://doi. org/10.1895/wormbook.1.144.1 (2007).
- Lee, S. S. & Schroeder, F. C. Steroids as central regulators of organismal development and lifespan. *PLoS Biol.* 10, e1001307 (2012).
- Gumienny, T. L. & Savage-Dunn, C. in *WormBook* (ed. The *C. elegans* Research Community) https://doi.org/10.1895/wormbook.1.22.2 (2013).
- Murphy, C. T. & Hu, P. J. in *WormBook* (ed. The *C. elegans* Research Community) https://doi.org/10.1895/wormbook.1.164.1 (2013).
 Gonnel N. & Prud'homme, P. The cause of repeated constitution in the second constitution of the second constitution.
- 35. Gompel, N. & Prud'homme, B. The causes of repeated genetic evolution. Dev. Biol. 332, 36-47 (2009).
- Greene, J. S. et al. Balancing selection shapes density-dependent foraging behaviour. *Nature* 539, 254–258 (2016).
- Greene, J. S., Dobosiewicz, M., Butcher, R. A., McGrath, P. T. & Bargmann, C. I. Regulatory changes in two chemoreceptor genes contribute to a *Caenorhabditis elegans* QTL for foraging behavior. *Elife* 5, e21454 (2016).
- Charlesworth, D. Balancing selection and its effects on sequences in nearby genome regions. *PLoS Genet.* 2, e64 (2006).
- Andersen, E. C., Bloom, J. S., Gerke, J. P. & Kruglyak, L. A variant in the neuropeptide receptor NPR-1 is a major determinant of *Caenorhabditis elegans* growth and physiology. *PLoS Genet.* 10, e1004156 (2014).
- Boyd, W. A., Smith, M. V. & Freedman, J. H. Caenorhabditis elegans as a model in developmental toxicology. Methods Mol. Biol. 889, 15–24 (2012).
- Zhang, Y. K., Sanchez-Ayala, M. A., Sternberg, P. W., Srinivasan, J. & Schroeder, F. C. Improved synthesis for modular ascarosides uncovers biological activity. Org. Lett. 19, 2837–2840 (2017).
- Chen, W.-C., Maitra, R. & Melnykov, V. A quick guide for the EMCluster package. R Vignette package version 0.2-12 http://cran.r-project.org/ package=EMCluster (2012).
- Li, H. A statistical framework for SNP calling, mutation discovery, association mapping and population genetical parameter estimation from sequencing data. *Bioinformatics* 27, 2987–2993 (2011).
- Purcell, S. et al. PLINK: a tool set for whole-genome association and population-based linkage analyses. Am. J. Hum. Genet. 81, 559–575 (2007).
- 45. Chang, C. C. et al. Second-generation PLINK: rising to the challenge of larger and richer datasets. *Gigascience* 4, 7 (2015).
- Endelman, J. B. Ridge regression and other kernels for genomic selection with R package rrBLUP. *Plant Genome* 4, 250–255 (2011).
- 47. Qiu, Y. RSpectra Version 0.15-0 (Github, 2019).
- 48. Bilgrau, A. E. correlateR Version 0.1 (Github, 2018).
- 49. Li, J. & Ji, L. Adjusting multiple testing in multilocus analyses using the eigenvalues of a correlation matrix. *Heredity* **95**, 221–227 (2005).
- Covarrubias-Pazaran, G. Quantitative genetics using the Sommer package. R package version 4.0.4 https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/sommer/ vignettes/sommer.pdf (2018).
- Li, H. & Durbin, R. Fast and accurate short read alignment with Burrows-Wheeler transform. *Bioinformatics* 25, 1754–1760 (2009).
- 52. Picard Tools (Broad Institute, 2019); http://broadinstitute.github.io/picard/
- 53. Cook, D. E. et al. The genetic basis of natural variation in *Caenorhabditis* elegans telomere length. *Genetics* **204**, 371-383 (2016).
- Tarasov, A., Vilella, A. J., Cuppen, E., Nijman, I. J. & Prins, P. Sambamba: fast processing of NGS alignment formats. *Bioinformatics* 31, 2032–2034 (2015).
- Chen, X. et al. Manta: rapid detection of structural variants and indels for germline and cancer sequencing applications. *Bioinformatics* 32, 1220–1222 (2016).
- Shin, H. et al. Transcriptome analysis for *Caenorhabditis elegans* based on novel expressed sequence tags. *BMC Biol.* 6, 30 (2008).
- Gerstein, M. B. et al. Integrative analysis of the *Caenorhabditis elegans* genome by the modENCODE project. *Science* 330, 1775–1787 (2010).
- 58. Mortazavi, A. et al. Scaffolding a *Caenorhabditis* nematode genome with RNA-seq. *Genome Res.* **20**, 1740–1747 (2010).
- Stadler, M. & Fire, A. Wobble base-pairing slows in vivo translation elongation in metazoans. RNA 17, 2063–2073 (2011).
- 60. Lamm, A. T., Stadler, M. R., Zhang, H., Gent, J. I. & Fire, A. Z. Multimodal RNA-seq using single-strand, double-strand, and CircLigase-based capture yields a refined and extended description of the *C. elegans* transcriptome. *Genome Res.* 21, 265–275 (2011).
- Maxwell, C. S., Antoshechkin, I., Kurhanewicz, N., Belsky, J. A. & Baugh, L. R. Nutritional control of mRNA isoform expression during developmental arrest and recovery in *C. elegans. Genome Res.* 22, 1920–1929 (2012).
- Steijger, T. et al. Assessment of transcript reconstruction methods for RNA-seq. *Nat. Methods* 10, 1177–1184 (2013).

- 63. Grün, D. et al. Conservation of mRNA and protein expression during development of *C. elegans. Cell Rep.* **6**, 565–577 (2014).
- 64. Wang, J.-J. et al. The influences of PRG-1 on the expression of small RNAs and mRNAs. *BMC Genom.* **15**, 321 (2014).
- Dillman, A. R. et al. Comparative genomics of *Steinernema* reveals deeply conserved gene regulatory networks. *Genome Biol.* 16, 200 (2015).
- 66. Pfeifer, B., Wittelsbürger, U., Ramos-Onsins, S. E. & Lercher, M. J. PopGenome: an efficient Swiss army knife for population genomic analyses in R. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **31**, 1929–1936 (2014).
- Browning, B. L. & Browning, S. R. Genotype imputation with millions of reference samples. Am. J. Hum. Genet. 98, 116–126 (2016).
- Danecek, P. et al. The variant call format and VCFtools. *Bioinformatics* 27, 2156–2158 (2011).
- 69. Warnes, G., Gorjanc, G., Leisch, F. & Man, M. Genetics: population genetics. R package version 1.3.6 (2012).
- Browning, B. L. & Browning, S. R. Detecting identity by descent and estimating genotype error rates in sequence data. *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* 93, 840–851 (2013).
- Li, H. A statistical framework for SNP calling, mutation discovery, association mapping and population genetical parameter estimation from sequencing data. *Bioinformatics* 27, 2987–2993 (2011).
- Felsenstein, J. PHYLIP—Phylogeny Inference Package (version 3.2). Cladistics 5, 164–166 (1989).
- Tavaré, S. Some probabilistic and statistical problems on the analysis of DNA sequences. Lect. Math. Life Sci. 17, 57–86 (1986).
- Lanave, C., Preparata, G., Saccone, C. & Serio, G. A new method for calculating evolutionary substitution rates. *J. Mol. Evol.* 20, 86–93 (1984).
- 75. Yu, G., Smith, D. K., Zhu, H., Guan, Y. & Lam, T. T.-Y. ggtree: an r package for visualization and annotation of phylogenetic trees with their covariates and other associated data. *Methods Ecol. Evol.* 8, 28–36 (2017).
- 76. R Core Team *R*: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing (R Foundation for Statistical Computing, 2013).

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by an NSF CAREER Award to E.C.A. S.Z was supported by the Cell and Molecular Basis of Disease training grant (no. T32GM008061) and the Bernard and Martha Rappaport Fellowship. D.E.C. received the National Science Foundation Graduate Research Fellowship (no. DGE-1324585). Members of the Andersen Lab helped with manuscript editing, and Y. K. Zhang assisted with the synthesis of ascarosides. Some strains were provided by the CGC, which is funded by the NIH Office of Research Infrastructure Programs (grant no. P40 OD010440). We thank WormBase for providing genomic data on *Caenorhabditis* species.

Author contributions

D.L. and E.C.A. conceived and designed the study. D.L. performed the high-throughput assay, CRISPR–Cas9 genome editing, population genomic analyses and niche enrichment tests. S.Z. performed the GWA mapping, identified genetic variants in the *dauf-1* locus, generated the genome-wide tree of 249 wild *C. elegans* strains and edited the manuscript. D.E.C. analysed the haplotype composition of 249 wild strains. L.F. J-C.H., M.G.S., J.A.G.R., J.W., J.E.K., C.B. and M.-A.F. contributed wild isolates to the *C. elegans* strain collection. F.C.S. provided the dauer pheromone. D.L. and E.C.A. analysed the data and wrote the manuscript.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Additional information

Supplementary information is available for this paper at https://doi.org/10.1038/ s41559-019-0982-3.

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to E.C.A.

Reprints and permissions information is available at www.nature.com/reprints.

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

© The Author(s), under exclusive licence to Springer Nature Limited 2019

ARTICLES

natureresearch

Corresponding author(s): Erik C. Andersen

Last updated by author(s): Aug 4, 2019

Reporting Summary

Nature Research wishes to improve the reproducibility of the work that we publish. This form provides structure for consistency and transparency in reporting. For further information on Nature Research policies, see <u>Authors & Referees</u> and the <u>Editorial Policy Checklist</u>.

Statistics

For	all st	atistical analyses, confirm that the following items are present in the figure legend, table legend, main text, or Methods section.
n/a	Cor	firmed
	\square	The exact sample size (n) for each experimental group/condition, given as a discrete number and unit of measurement
	\square	A statement on whether measurements were taken from distinct samples or whether the same sample was measured repeatedly
		The statistical test(s) used AND whether they are one- or two-sided Only common tests should be described solely by name; describe more complex techniques in the Methods section.
	\square	A description of all covariates tested
	\square	A description of any assumptions or corrections, such as tests of normality and adjustment for multiple comparisons
		A full description of the statistical parameters including central tendency (e.g. means) or other basic estimates (e.g. regression coefficient) AND variation (e.g. standard deviation) or associated estimates of uncertainty (e.g. confidence intervals)
	\boxtimes	For null hypothesis testing, the test statistic (e.g. <i>F</i> , <i>t</i> , <i>r</i>) with confidence intervals, effect sizes, degrees of freedom and <i>P</i> value noted Give <i>P</i> values as exact values whenever suitable.
\boxtimes		For Bayesian analysis, information on the choice of priors and Markov chain Monte Carlo settings
\boxtimes		For hierarchical and complex designs, identification of the appropriate level for tests and full reporting of outcomes
\boxtimes		Estimates of effect sizes (e.g. Cohen's d, Pearson's r), indicating how they were calculated
		Our web collection on statistics for biologists contains articles on many of the points above.

Software and code

Policy information about availability of computer code

Data collection	No software was used for data collection.
Data analysis	Chen, WC., Maitra, R. & Melnykov, V. A Quick Guide for the EMCluster Package. R Vignette, URL http://cran. r-project. org/package= EMCluster (2012).
	Danecek, P., Schiffels, S. & Durbin, R. Multiallelic calling model in bcftools (-m) (Version 20160422) (2016).
	Danecek, P. et al. The variant call format and VCFtools. Bioinformatics 27, 2156–2158 (2011).
	Purcell, S. et al. PLINK: a tool set for whole-genome association and population-based linkage analyses. Am. J. Hum. Genet. 81, 559–575
	(2007).
	Endelman, J. B. Ridge Regression and Other Kernels for Genomic Selection with R Package rrBLUP. Plant Genome 4, 250–255 (2011).
	Picard Tools - By Broad Institute. Available at: http://broadinstitute.github.io/picard/.
	Chen, X. et al. Manta: rapid detection of structural variants and indels for germline and cancer sequencing applications. Bioinformatics 32, 1220–1222 (2016).
	Warnes G, Gorjanc G, Leisch F, Man M. Genetics: Population genetics, R package version 1.3.6. (2012).
	Felsenstein, J. PHYLIP - Phylogeny Inference Package (Version 3.2). Cladistics 5, 164–166 (1989).
	stats package R Documentation. Available at: https://www.rdocumentation.org/packages/stats/versions/3.5.2.
	Covarrubias-Pazaran, G. Quantitative genetics using the Sommer package. R Found. Stat. Comput., Vienna. https://cran. r-project. org/ web/packages/sommer/vignettes/sommer.pdf (2018).

For manuscripts utilizing custom algorithms or software that are central to the research but not yet described in published literature, software must be made available to editors/reviewers. We strongly encourage code deposition in a community repository (e.g. GitHub). See the Nature Research guidelines for submitting code & software for further information.

Data

Policy information about availability of data

All manuscripts must include a data availability statement. This statement should provide the following information, where applicable:

- Accession codes, unique identifiers, or web links for publicly available datasets
- A list of figures that have associated raw data
- A description of any restrictions on data availability

Data and code availability

All data sets, including HTDA raw data, and code for generating figures are available on GitHub (https://github.com/AndersenLab/DauerSRG3637).

Field-specific reporting

Please select the one below that is the best fit for your research. If you are not sure, read the appropriate sections before making your selection.

 If e sciences
 Behavioural & social sciences
 Ecological, evolutionary & environmental sciences

 For a reference copy of the document with all sections, see nature.com/documents/nr-reporting-summary-flat.pdf

Life sciences study design

All studies must disclose on these points even when the disclosure is negative.						
Sample size	Sample size was determined by both reproducibility of the assay and heritability of the trait.					
Data exclusions	Data from contaminated samples were excluded from the analysis.					
Replication	Biological replicates from independent treatments demonstrate the reproducibility of results.					
Randomization	The genome-wide association mapping was performed with randomized strain sets.					
Blinding	Samples were processed through an automatic flow-based measurement device.					

Reporting for specific materials, systems and methods

We require information from authors about some types of materials, experimental systems and methods used in many studies. Here, indicate whether each material, system or method listed is relevant to your study. If you are not sure if a list item applies to your research, read the appropriate section before selecting a response.

Ma	terials & experimental systems	thods	
n/a	Involved in the study	n/a	Involved in the study
\boxtimes	Antibodies	\boxtimes	ChIP-seq
\boxtimes	Eukaryotic cell lines	\boxtimes	Flow cytometry
\boxtimes	Palaeontology	\bowtie	MRI-based neuroimaging
	Animals and other organisms		
\boxtimes	Human research participants		
\boxtimes	Clinical data		

Animals and other organisms

Policy information about studies involving animals; ARRIVE guidelines recommended for reporting animal research					
Laboratory animals	Caenorhabditis elegans / hermaphrodite				
Wild animals	Provide details on animals observed in or captured in the field; report species, sex and age where possible. Describe how animals were caught and transported and what happened to captive animals after the study (if killed, explain why and describe method; if released, say where and when) OR state that the study did not involve wild animals.				
Field-collected samples	For laboratory work with field-collected samples, describe all relevant parameters such as housing, maintenance, temperature, photoperiod and end-of-experiment protocol OR state that the study did not involve samples collected from the field.				
Ethics oversight	Identify the organization(s) that approved or provided guidance on the study protocol, OR state that no ethical approval or guidance was required and explain why not.				

Note that full information on the approval of the study protocol must also be provided in the manuscript.